



Chapter II - Tree diversity and soil chemical properties drive the linkages between soil microbial community and ecosystem functioning

Rémy Beugnon^{f,c,1,2} & Jianqing Du^{f,3}, Simone Cesarz^{1,2}, Stephanie D. Jurburg^{1,2}, Zhe Pang³, Bala Singavarapu^{4,5,1}, Tesfaye Wubet^{4,1}, Kai Xue^{c,3,6}, Yanfen Wang^{s,3,6} & Nico Eisenhauer^{s,1,2}

^f: first authors, these authors contributed equally to this work; ^s: senior authors

^c: corresponding authors, emails: <u>remy.beugnon@idiv.de</u>, <u>xuekai@ucas.ac.cn</u>

¹: German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Puschstrasse 4, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

²: Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, Puschstrasse 4, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

³: Yanshan Earth Critical Zone and Surface Fluxes Research Station, College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 101408 Beijing, China

⁴: UFZ-Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Department of Community Ecology, Theodor-Lieser-Str. 4, D-06120 Halle (Saale), Germany

⁵: Institute of Biology/Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle, Germany

⁶: CAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Sciences, 100101 Beijing, China

Editorial status: Published in ISME Communications (August 2021)

Manuscript reference: 10.1038/s43705-021-00040-0

Abstract

Microbial respiration is critical for soil carbon balance and ecosystem functioning. Previous studies suggest that plant diversity influences soil microbial communities and their respiration. Yet, the linkages between tree diversity, microbial biomass, microbial diversity, and microbial functioning have rarely been explored. In this study, we measured two microbial functions (microbial physiological potential, and microbial respiration), together with microbial biomass, microbial taxonomic and functional profiles, and soil chemical properties in a tree diversity experiment in South China, to disentangle how tree diversity affects microbial respiration through the modifications of the microbial community. Our analyses show a significant positive effect of tree diversity on microbial biomass (+25% from monocultures to 24-species plots), bacterial diversity (+12%), and physiological potential (+12%). In addition, microbial biomass and physiological potential, but not microbial diversity, were identified as the key drivers of microbial respiration. Although soil chemical properties strongly modulated soil microbial community, tree diversity increased soil microbial respiration by increasing microbial biomass rather than changing microbial taxonomic or functional diversity. Overall, our findings suggest a prevalence of microbial biomass over diversity in controlling soil carbon dynamics.

Introduction

A thorough understanding of the soil carbon balance is essential to mitigate recent increases in atmospheric carbon concentrations and the resulting climate change (Davidson and Janssens 2006; IPCC 2013; Lal 2004; Trumbore 1997). Soil heterotrophic respiration is a critical process for the soil carbon balance and ecosystem functions such as climate regulation, nutrient cycling, and plant productivity (Schlesinger and Andrews 2000; Singh *et al.* 2010). Microorganisms are the main contributors to soil heterotrophic respiration, and microbial respiration is tightly linked to microbial community properties (Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.* 2016a; Liu *et al.* 2018; McGuire and Treseder 2010; Monson *et al.* 2006; Wieder *et al.* 2013). In turn, soil microbes and their functioning are determined by the biotic and abiotic environmental conditions (Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.* 2016b; Maaroufi and Long 2020; Gottschall *et al.* 2019).

Microbial properties are strongly affected by the vegetation type (Durán and Delgado-Baquerizo 2020) and its diversity (Pei et al. 2016). Consequently, plant community composition and diversity mediate microbial control over the soil carbon balance (Beugnon *et al.;* Pei *et al.* 2016; Xu *et al.* 2020; Lange *et al.* 2015; Schmidt *et al.* 2011). Plant diversity can increase litter and rhizosphere carbon inputs into the soil, thereby enhancing the quality and quantity of resources for the soil microbial community (Eisenhauer *et al.* 2017; Huang *et al.* 2017). This increase of rhizosphere carbon was shown to enhance soil carbon storage (Fornara and Tilman 2008; Lange *et al.* 2015) by increasing soil microbial biomass and activity (Lange *et al.* 2015; Chen *et al.* 2019). However, how plant diversity modulates the microbial community and how this affects soil carbon dynamics is not well understood. In addition, abiotic conditions, such as climate and soil chemical properties (soil carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations, pH, and humidity) also drive the assembly and functioning of soil microbial communities (Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.* 2016b; Maaroufi and Long 2020; Thoms *et al.* 2010; Rousk *et al.* 2010). For example, soil organic carbon content is generally correlated with microbial biomass and activity (Lange *et al.* 2015; Miltner *et al.* 2012), while nitrogen and phosphorus-limited soils exhibit reduced microbial biomass and microbial community diversity (Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.* 2017; Fanin *et al.* 2012). Importantly, the effect of abiotic conditions on soil microbes greatly depends on which facet of the microbiota is assessed (Louca *et al.* 2016; Cao *et al.* 2020; Bao *et al.* 2020).

Soil microbial abundance, taxonomic and functional diversity can be assessed in terms of microbial biomass (i.e., through phospholipid fatty acid biomarkers or substrate-induced respiration measurements), taxonomic community composition and diversity (i.e., taxonomic profile through 16S rRNA gene and ITS amplicon sequencing or phospholipid fatty acid [PLFA] biomarker measurements), or potential functioning (i.e., functional profile through shotgun metagenomics or qPCR of functional genes), respectively (Fig. II.1). Realized functions can be assessed by community level physiological profiling (i.e., physiological potential through MicroResp ® measurements) or microbial respiration measurements (Fig. II.1). For example, the taxonomic diversity of soil microbes generally correlates with functional diversity (Galand *et al.* 2018), but these relationships may decouple as results of microbial functional redundancy and the different sensitivities of microbial facets to environmental changes (Louca *et al.* 2016; Kuang *et al.* 2016; Jurburg and Salles 2015). Alternatively, combining several measurements of the soil microbial community may provide a deeper understanding of soil microbial functioning; however, the different facets of soil microbial communities are rarely assessed together.

Taken together, soil microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles are three key facets of the microbial community shown to be critical for microbial respiration (Chen *et al.* 2020; Liu *et al.* 2018; Trivedi *et al.* 2016), but they have not been studied together. Consequently, little is known about the potential correlations between these microbial facets, and their relationship to microbial functions (Chen *et al.* 2020; Liu *et al.* 2018; Trivedi *et al.* 2016; Hale

et al. 2019). For example, microbial respiration is tightly linked to the total microbial biomass and the microbial taxonomic profile (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2016a; Liu et al. 2018; McGuire and Treseder 2010; Monson et al. 2006; Wieder et al. 2013), but the microbial functional profile has been shown to be more relevant than the taxonomic profile to predict microbial realized functions (Chen et al. 2020; Hale et al. 2019; Chen and Sinsabaugh 2021). Moreover, microbial respiration is strongly limited by the microbial physiological ability to process the available substrates (Allison et al. 2010; Eisenhauer et al. 2010). Therefore, the microbial physiological potential to process substrate is expected to be a powerful predictor of microbial respiration and functions (Allison et al. 2010; Bonner et al. 2018). The physiological potential is believed to be dependent on the microbial biomass, as well as the taxonomic and functional profiles (Bárány et al. 2014; Bonner et al. 2018; Chodak et al. 2016; Lagomarsino et al. 2007). By predicting enzymatic activity (Trivedi et al. 2016; Chen and Sinsabaugh 2021), the microbial functional profile is hypothesized to be more closely related to the physiological potential of the soil microbial community than microbial biomass or taxonomic profile. However, no study has tested the individual or combined ability of these different microbial facets to predict the microbial physiological potential. A better understanding of the relationship between microbial facets and realized microbial function may facilitate the integration of soil microbial processes into soil carbon flux models (Crowther et al. 2019; Hall et al. 2018; Malik et al. 2020; Sainte-Marie et al. 2021).

To mechanistically understand tree diversity and soil chemical properties effects on microbial functions, we sampled a subtropical forest experiment in China (Bruelheide *et al.* 2014), and explored the contribution of different facets of the microbial community to microbial functions by bringing these microbial facets and functions together in a common framework. This biome has the highest average net ecosystem productivity among Asian forests (Yu *et al.* 2014) and is thus ideal for the study of carbon cycling and its determinants. In 2018, we collected 150

samples in 52 plots from a tree diversity experiment established in 2009. Across a tree species richness gradient, we measured soil microbial respiration, biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles, and physiological potential, along with soil chemical properties (carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus concentrations, soil humidity, and pH).

We hypothesized that (H1) tree diversity would drive microbial community facets (microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profile) and increase soil microbial functioning (microbial physiological potential and respiration); (H2) soil microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles would be tightly correlated with each other and together drive microbial functions; (H3) microbial physiological potential would link microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional functional profiles to microbial respiration; and (H4) that environmental conditions (tree diversity and soil chemical properties) would co-determine soil respiration by modulating the microbial community facets.

Materials and methods

Only key procedures are provided here, further details about the materials and methods are available in Suppl. II - S1.

Study site, study design, and sampling

Our study site was located in south-east China in the Jiangxi province (29.08-29.11° N, 117.90-117.93° E). Sampling took place in BEF-China, a tree diversity experiment, including tree species mixture plots (1, 2, 4, 8, and 16 tree species per plot, Fig. II.1) (Bruelheide *et al.* 2014). To account for the role of tree diversity and soil quality, we collected 150 soil samples across different levels of tree diversity randomly distributed in the landscape (Fig. II.1, Suppl. II - S2). We sampled from mid-August to late-September 2018, before the litterfall season. To avoid spatio-temporal autocorrelation, the daily sample location was chosen randomly; and to control for the distance to the trees, each sample was extracted between a pair of trees. For each pair of trees, we extracted four soil cores (5 cm diameter; 10 cm depth), 5 cm and 20 cm away from the center point between the tree pair (Fig. II.1). A composite sample was built from these four cores by homogenizing with a 2 mm sieve.

Soil quality analyses

Soil moisture was measured from 25 g of soil by drying at 40°C for two days. A subsample was used to measure soil pH in a 1:2.5 soil-water solution. In addition, to measure soil total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN), and total phosphorus (TP), 200 g of soil were homogenized, ground with a ball mill, and sieved at 0.25 mm. Soil total organic carbon was measured by a TOC Analyzer (Liqui TOC II; Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Soil total nitrogen was measured on an auto-analyzer (SEAL Analytical GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany) using the Kjeldahl method (Bradstreet 1954). Soil total phosphorus concentration was measured after wet digestion with H₂SO₄ and HClO₄ by a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (UV2700, SHIMADZU, Japan). Carbon to nitrogen and carbon to phosphorus ratios were calculated as TOC:TN and TOC:TP, respectively.

Soil microbial biomass

Microbial biomass was measured using phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis. PLFAs were extracted from 5 g of frozen soil following Frostegård *et al.* (Frostegård *et al.* 1991). Biomarkers were assigned to microbial functional groups according to Ruess *et al.* (Ruess and Chamberlain 2010, see Suppl. II-S3). Total microbial biomass was calculated as the sum of biomasses of all microbial groups. The ratio of bacteria to fungi (B:F) was calculated as the ratio of the sum of all bacterial biomass markers to the sum of all fungal biomass markers. Active microbial biomass was measured from 6 g of soil using the substrate-induced respiration method following Scheu *et al.* (Scheu 1992).

Soil microbial taxonomic profile

Microbial DNA was extracted from freeze-dried soil samples using PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories Inc., Carlsbad, CA, United States). DNA concentrations were checked with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany), and the extracts were adjusted to $10-15 \text{ ng/}\mu l$. The bacterial and fungal amplicon libraries were prepared following Schöps *et al.* (Schöps *et al.* 2018) and Nawaz *et al.* (Nawaz *et al.* 2019).

Bioinformatic analysis was performed using the Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology – QIIME 2 2020.2 (Bolyen *et al.* 2019). The forward and reverse reads were demultiplexed, primer sequences were trimmed, denoised, and grouped into Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) using cut-adapt for chimera removal (Martin 2011, via q2-cutadapt) and DADA2 for non-target taxa removal (Callahan *et al.* 2016, via q2-dada2). ASV tables were imported into R with the phyloseq package (McMurdie and Holmes 2013). The fungal and bacterial ASVs were rarefied to 16,542 and 28,897 reads per sample, respectively. OTU richness, Shannon diversity, Pielou evenness, and Gini dominance indices were calculated using the microbiome package (Lahti *et al.* 2017). We inspected the correlations between these indices and focused our analyses on Shannon diversity index (Suppl. II - S4.A).

Soil microbial functional profile

DNA was extracted with the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentrations were checked with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, USA), and DNA concentrations were quantified with the QuantiFluor dsDNA kit (Promega, USA) and a microplate reader (SpectraMax M5, Molecular Devices). DNA was diluted to 50 ng/µl with sterile water and stored at -20 °C. Microbial functional genes coding for enzymes involved in carbon catabolism processes, which are central to soil carbon cycling (Liang *et al.* 2017, see Suppl. II-S5), were quantified following Zheng *et al.* (Zheng *et al.* 2018) using a high-throughput quantitative-PCR-based chip (HT-qPCR; SmartChip Real-time PCR system, WaferGen Biosystems, Fremont, USA).

To compare abundance patterns across functional genes, we scaled each functional gene abundance between 0 and 1 across all samples using the z-transformation, and we summed the scaled abundance of functional genes related to carbon catabolism (i.e., "Cata", Suppl. II - S5). To quantify the evenness of the functional gene abundances, the functional gene Pielou evenness was calculated using the R 'diversity' from the 'vegan' package ("FG evenness").

Soil microbial physiological potential

Microbial physiological potential indices were calculated from substrate-induced respiration assays using the MicroResp.® method (Campbell *et al.* 2003). This method is used to assess the potential response of the living microbial community (i.e., active and dormant) to substrate addition. Fourteen substrates from three chemical classes (i.e., saccharides, amino-acid, and carboxylic acids) were selected to cover complementary biochemical pathways and to create a gradient of molecular weights (ranging from 89 to 221 g.mol⁻¹), and a gradient of carbon oxidation states (ranging from -2 to 3 e⁻, Suppl. II - S6). CO₂ measurements were used to calculate substrate-induced respiration efficiency (i.e., "SIR efficiency") and substrate-induced respiration response range (i.e., "SIR range"). SIR efficiency was calculated as the Pielou evenness (from R 'diversity' function package vegan) of the CO₂ production of all substrates. SIR range was defined as the difference in CO₂ production between oxalic acid and alanine, the two substrates on the upper and lower extremes of carbon oxidation. We performed sensitivity analyses to explore the effects of substrate selection on these indices, which showed that substrate selection did not alter our results and conclusions (Suppl. II - S6).

Soil microbial respiration

Soil microbial respiration was measured on 6 g of fresh soil following Scheu *et al.* (Scheu 1992) without adding any substrate or water, thereby reflecting the actual respiration at the site.



Fig. II.1: Sampling and measurement design. Sampling design: **A.** plot layout of the BEF China experimental platform (site A), **B.** plot tree planting grid pattern, **C.** soil core sampling design in tree species pairs, and treatment of samples. **Measurements:** (i.) quantification of active microbial biomass by substrate-induced respiration method (i.e., SIR, Scheu *et al.* 1992), (ii.) quantification of total microbial biomass and bacterial to fungal biomass ratio (B:F ratio) by measurement of soil microbial phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs), (iii.) qualification of microbial profile by qPCR sequencing of soil 16S and ITS sequences, (iv.) quantification of functional genes related to carbon catabolism by quantitative microbial element cycling (QMEC, Zheng *et al.* 2018), (v.) quantification of carbon dioxide released during six hours after induction by a range of substrates using MicroResp.® method (Campbell *et al.* 2003), (vi.) quantification of soil microbial respiration by the O₂-microcompensation method.

Active microbial biomass (with substrate addition) and microbial respiration (without substrate addition) were measured on the same sample and machine. To test the robustness of our results, all following analyses were run with and without active microbial biomass.

Statistical analyses

All data handling and statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical software version 4.0.3, and all R scripts used for this study can be found in our GitHub repository (<u>https://github.com/remybeugnon/Beugnon-Du_et_al_2021_Microbial_community_and_functions</u>).

All metrics inferred from soil measurements are summarized in the Suppl. II - S4. In order to avoid any model-fit deviation due to scale differences between variables, all explanatory variables were centered and divided by two standard deviations for our analyses using the R rescale function from the arm package. For each analysis, we compared the drivers' effect sizes defined as the standardized estimate of a given variable in the model, where the response variable was centered and divided by two standard deviations.

Tree diversity effects on soil microbial community facets and functions

We used linear models and normal distribution assumptions to test the effects of tree species richness on soil microbial biomass (total and active microbial biomass), taxonomic profile (B:F ratio and Shannon diversity of bacterial and fungal communities), functional profile (catabolic functional gene abundance and evenness), physiological potential (SIR efficiency and range), and microbial respiration. Possible non-linear relations (i.e., quadratic, polynomial, and logarithmic relationships) were tested and are shown in Suppl. II - S7.A. The linear relationships were chosen when the difference in AIC with the best model (i.e., model with the lowest AIC) was lower than four. All previous linear models were tested in R using the lm function, and statistical hypotheses of the following linear models were tested in Suppl. II - S7.B using the model_check function from the performance package in R.

Relationships between soil microbial facets and microbial functions

We tested the correlations between the microbial community facets (soil microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles) using Pearson correlation tests. We used linear multivariate models and normal distribution assumptions to test the effects of microbial biomass (total and active microbial biomass), taxonomic profile (B:F ratio and Shannon diversity of bacterial and fungal communities), and functional profile (catabolic functional gene abundance, and evenness) on soil microbial physiological potential (SIR efficiency and range), and soil microbial respiration. Explanatory variables (microbial biomasses, taxonomic and functional profile indices) were selected using forward and backward step selection based on AIC (i.e., R step function from stats package). A variance partitioning analysis was performed on the final set of variables to disentangle the effects of microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles using the R varpart function from the vegan package. All previous linear multivariate models were tested in R using the lm function and statistical hypotheses of the following linear models were tested in Suppl. II - S8 using the model_check function from the performance package in R.

Cascading effects of the different soil microbial community facets on microbial physiological potential and microbial respiration

We tested the relationships between soil microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles, physiological potential, and soil microbial respiration using a Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) framework. Microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles were linked to each other by correlations, and their effects on physiological potential indices and soil microbial respiration were modeled with causal relations (directed paths). Our SEM was fitted using the R sem function from the lavaan package (Rosseel 2012). The model fit to our data and model quality were estimated using three complementary indices: (i) the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), (ii) the comparative fit index (CFI), and (iii) the standardized root

mean squared residuals (SRMR). Model fits were considered acceptable when RMSEA < 0.10, CFI > 0.9 and SRMR < 0.08. All statistical hypotheses and complete outputs can be found in Suppl. II - S9 and II - S10.

Effects of tree species richness and soil quality on relationships between the soil microbial community and their functions

To test the effects of tree species richness and soil chemical properties on the relationship between the soil microbial community facets and microbial respiration, we added the causal effects of soil chemical properties and tree species richness on the variables of our previous SEM model. To assess which group of response variables (i.e., soil microbial biomass, taxonomic profile, functional profile, physiological potential, and microbial respiration) was the most affected by soil chemical properties and tree species richness, the effects of soil chemical properties and tree species richness on each response group were summarized by summing all the absolute standardized effects of soil quality or tree species richness on the given response group. Additionally, to assess the importance of each soil chemical property and tree species richness, we summed the absolute standardized effects of each soil chemical property and tree species richness. All statistical hypotheses and complete outputs can be found in Suppl. II - S9 and II - S11.

Results

Tree diversity enhances the soil microbial biomass, diversity and functions

Our analyses showed that tree species richness enhanced soil microbial community properties and functions. Total microbial biomass and bacterial diversity increased significantly with tree species richness (total microbial biomass: estimate \pm SE = 0.020 \pm 0.007, *p*-value = 0.003; bacteria diversity: 0.017 \pm 0.007, *p*-value = 0.011; Fig. II.2). Tree species richness significantly increased soil microbial community substrate-induced respiration efficiency (SIR efficiency: 0.022 \pm 0.007, *p*-value = 0.001) and tended to increase microbial respiration (0.013 \pm 0.007, *p*-

Chapter II - Tree diversity and soil chemical properties drive the linkages between soil microbial community and ecosystem functioning



Fig. II.2: Tree species richness effects on soil microbial community facets and functions. A. Effect of tree species richness on microbial biomass (i.e., "Total biomass" and "Active biomass"), taxonomic profile (i.e., bacteria to fungi ratio: "B:F", bacteria Shannon diversity: "Bac. div.", and fungi Shannon diversity: "Fung. div."), functional profile (i.e., the abundance of catabolism functional genes: "Cata" and functional genes evenness: "FG eve."), physiological potential (i.e., substrate-induced respiration efficiency: "SIR efficiency", and substrate-induced respiration response range: "SIR range"), and microbial respiration. **B.** Relations between tree species richness and total microbial biomass, bacteria Shannon diversity (i.e., "Bacteria diversity"), SIR efficiency, and microbial respiration. The significance levels were standardized across the panels (".": *p*-value < 0.1, "*": *p*-value < 0.05, "**": *p*-value < 0.001: ***).

value = 0.064, Fig. II.2). Notably, the tree diversity effect on total biomass and basal respiration were mostly driven by high values in 24-species tree communities for microbial biomass and lower variability for respiration (Fig. II.2, Suppl. II - S7.A).

Soil microbial community facets are strongly correlated

We observed a positive correlation between total soil microbial biomass and active microbial biomass (Pearson correlation: cor = 0.45, *p*-value < 0.001), as well as a positive correlation between the functional profile variables (cor = 0.57, *p*-value < 0.001). In addition, the bacteria to fungi ratio (B:F) was negatively correlated to microbial biomass and the Shannon diversity of fungi (see Fig. II.3A, and Suppl. II - S8), while the Shannon diversity of fungi was positively correlated to active microbial biomass (cor = 0.20, *p*-value = 0.014; Fig. II.3A, Suppl. II - S8).

Soil microbial community facets drive soil microbial functions

We tested the effects of soil microbial biomass and taxonomic and functional profile on microbial community physiological potential and respiration using linear models and AIC-based model selection. Soil microbial community facets explained up to 50% of the variance in microbial respiration, but only 19% and 4% of the variance in SIR efficiency and range, respectively (Fig. II.3B). For all microbial functions, microbial biomass was the main driver by explaining up to 43% of microbial respiration, 14% of SIR efficiency, and 2% of substrate-induced respiration response range (Fig. II.3B, Suppl. II - S8). Together, microbial taxonomic and functional profile only explained a small part of the variance in microbial respiration (taxonomic profile: 6% and functional profile: 1% and functional profile: 2%, Suppl. II - S8), and substrate-induced respiration response range (functional profile: 1%, Suppl. II - S8). Active microbial biomass effects on microbial functions were consistent by increasing all functions (Fig. II.3B, Suppl. II - S8).

Soil microbial facets interact in mediating microbial respiration

We tested the combined effects of soil microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles on microbial physiological potential and respiration using an SEM framework. The addition of microbial physiological potentials ("R² with") improved the variance explained of microbial respiration compared to the model considering microbial biomass and taxonomic and functional profile only (R²_{with} = 57% in Fig. II.4 *vs.* R²_{without} = 50% in Fig. II.3B). There were combined positive effects of microbial biomass, fungal diversity, and physiological potential on microbial respiration (active microbial biomass effect: estimate \pm SE = 0.590 \pm 0.060, *p*value < 0.001; fungi diversity: 0.128 \pm 0.058, *p*-value = 0.027; SIR efficiency: 0.176 \pm 0.062, *p*-value = 0.005; SIR range: 0.213 \pm 0.057, *p*-value < 0.001, Fig. II.4, Suppl. II - S10). Soil microbial physiological potential, especially SIR efficiency, was strongly affected by soil

Chapter II - Tree diversity and soil chemical properties drive the linkages between soil microbial community and ecosystem functioning



Fig. II.3: Correlations between soil microbial community facets (A.), and effect of soil microbial community facets on microbial functions (B.). A. Correlation matrix of soil microbial community facets: microbial biomass (i.e., "total biomass" and "active biomass"), taxonomic profile (i.e., bacteria to fungi ratio: "B:F", bacteria Shannon diversity, and fungi Shannon diversity), functional profile (i.e., the abundance of catabolism functional genes: "Cata" and functional genes evenness: "FG evenness"). B. Effects of microbial community facets on substrate-induced respiration efficiency and response range (i.e., "SIR efficiency" and "SIR range", respectively), and microbial respiration. The explained variance (in %) of the model after model selection is displayed in the first row. The model variance partitioning between the different microbial facets (i.e., biomass, taxonomic and functional profile) is displayed in the second row. For each response variable (i.e., column), the circles are proportional to the part of explained variance and the intersects to the shared variance between two groups of variables. The last rows display the standardized effect sizes of the selected variables. The significance levels were standardized across the panels (".": pvalue < 0.1, "*": *p*-value < 0.05, "**": *p*-value < 0.01 and "***": *p*-value < 0.001: ***). **I. Color** scale. The colored bar represents both the correlation strength in A. and the effect size of the microbial community facets in B. both between -1 and 1.

microbial biomass and functional profile (total microbial biomass effect: 0.209 ± 0.083 , *p*-value = 0.012; active microbial biomass: 0.258 ± 0.082 , *p*-value = 0.002; and functional genes evenness: -0.179 ± 0.089 , *p*-value = 0.045, Fig. II.4, Suppl. II - S10). The total effect size (i.e.,

sum of effects) of soil microbial biomass on microbial respiration was 0.672 (direct effect = 0.590, indirect effect = 0.082), while the total effect size of microbial taxonomic profile was 0.128 (only direct effect = 0.128), that of functional profile 0.031 (only indirect = 0.031), and that of physiological potential was 0.389 (only direct effects). Overall, we observed a strong effect of microbial biomass (i.e., a quantity-related measure, total effect: 0.672), but minor to neutral effects of microbial diversity (i.e., diversity measures, total effect of taxonomic and functional diversity: 0.159)

Soil quality shapes the relationship between the soil microbial community and microbial functions

The addition of tree diversity and soil chemical properties to our model increased the explained variance of microbial respiration ($R^2_{with} = 68\%$ in Fig. II.5C *vs*. $R^2_{without} = 57\%$ in Fig. II.4) and explained part of soil microbial biomass variance ($R^2_{microbial biomass} = 46\%$ Fig. II.5C, Suppl. II - S11). Soil chemical properties (i.e., soil carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus contents, soil pH,



Fig. II.4. Structural equation model based on the effects of microbial community facets (i.e., microbial biomass: "Total biomass" and active microbial biomass, "Active biomass"; and, taxonomic profile: bacteria to fungi ratio, "B:F"; bacterial and fungal Shannon diversity, "Bac. div." and "Fung. div." respectively), genetic profile (i.e., carbon catabolism functional genes abundance: "Cata", and evenness: "FG eve."), and physiological potential (i.e., substrate-induced respiration efficiency and response range: "SIR efficiency" and "SIR range") on ecosystem function (i.e., "Microbial respiration"). Correlations between nodes are drawn with double-headed arrows, while causal relations were drawn with one-way arrows and are based on hypotheses explained in the main text; arrow widths are sized by the absolute effect size. Green and blue arrows stand for positive and negative relations between nodes, respectively, and significant relations between nodes are drawn with full lines, while non-significant relations are displayed with dashed lines, and the significance levels were standardized (".": *p*-value < 0.1., "*": *p*-value < 0.05, "**": *p*-value < 0.01, and "***": *p*-value < 0.001). For each endogenous variable (i.e., response variable), the part of variance explained (R^2 , in %) was added after the variable name.

and humidity) affected all soil microbial properties and their interrelationships (microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles, physiological potential, and microbial respiration) with the strongest effect on soil microbial biomass (total effect on microbial biomass: 1.474, total effect on taxonomic profile: 0.199, no effect on functional profile, total effect on physiological potential: 0.799, total effect on microbial respiration: 0.312; Fig. II.5C, Suppl. II - S11). TOC was the most important aspect of soil quality with a total effect of 1.383, while the total effect of all other soil properties together reached 1.400 (Fig. II.5B). Moreover, TOC and pH affected most of the microbial facets, while the other soil chemical properties affected only one or a few of the microbial facets (Fig. II.5A). For example, soil humidity increased microbial respiration but decreased total microbial biomass (0.312 \pm 0.054, *p*-value< 0.001 and -0.234, *p*-value < 0.001, respectively); while, carbon to phosphorus ratio only increased SIR range (0.269 \pm 0.098, *p*-value = 0.006, Fig. II.5, Suppl. II - S11).

Tree diversity effects on soil microbial respiration are mediated by the microbial community facets

In addition, tree species richness affected soil microbial biomass and taxonomic profile, and the community physiological potential with a positive effect on total microbial biomass (0.173 \pm 0.063, *p*-value = 0.006), bacterial diversity (0.164 \pm 0.082, *p*-value = 0.045), and SIR efficiency (0.152 \pm 0.073, *p*-value = 0.038, Fig. II.5A, Suppl. II - S11). By increasing microbial biomass and physiological potential, tree species richness indirectly increased microbial respiration (indirect effect: 0.014).

Discussion

Our results show a positive effect of tree diversity on the measured soil microbial community facets and functions (H1). By integrating soil microbial biomass, taxonomic and functional profiles into a single framework, our analyses show how these different facets of the soil microbial community are linked to each other (H2) and mediate the effect of tree diversity and



Fig. II.5. Structural equation model based on the effects of soil chemical properties and tree species richness on microbial community –ecosystem functioning linkages. A Structural equation model summary. Each node represents a group of variables, and each arrow summarizes all the significant effects between all the variables of two nodes. Correlations between nodes are drawn with double-headed arrows, while causal relations are drawn with simple arrows; arrow widths are sized by the sum of the absolute standardized effect size of significant relations between all variables of the two nodes. When no significant relations were found between any variables of two nodes, the arrows are drawn with dashed lines. Significant relationships between variables were specified in the figure (".": p-value < 0.1., "*": pvalue < 0.05, "**": p-value < 0.01, and "***": p-value < 0.001). **B** Total effects of soil chemical properties and tree diversity ("Drivers") on soil microbial facets and functions. The total effect size of the exogenous variables (i.e., tree species richness: "TreeD", total organic carbon: "TOC", soil pH: "pH", soil relative humidity: "RH", soil carbon to phosphorus ratio: "C:P", and soil carbon to nitrogen ratio: "C:N") on the microbial community facets (i.e., total microbial biomass: "Bio", active microbial biomass: "Active bio.", bacterial and fungal Shannon diversity: "Bac. div" and "Fung. div.", bacteria to fungi ratio: "B:F", catabolism functional genes abundance and evenness: "Cata" and "FG eve.") et functions (substrateinduced respiration efficiency and response range: "SIR eff." and "SIR range", and microbial respiration: "m. resp.") are shown by circles sized according to the sum of absolute standardized effect sizes. C Model explanatory power. R^2 values of response variables (y-axis) for the model are displayed on the x-axis. See Supplementary II - S11 for more details.

soil chemical properties on microbial respiration (H3 - H4). Our results highlight that soil microbial biomass and physiological potential are the main drivers of microbial respiration (H3). In turn, the microbial physiological potential is strongly affected by microbial biomass and functional gene evenness. Our results suggest that the relationship between soil microbial facets and realized functions are dependent on soil biochemistry. Taken together, our study presents a comprehensive framework of tree diversity effects on microbial community facets and functioning, providing novel insights into the most crucial variables for modeling changes in microbe-driven ecosystem functioning. For example, focusing our future investigations on tree species richness, soil carbon content, pH, and moisture will allow us to better predict soil microbial biomass as well as functioning.

Soil microbial community facets drives soil microbial functions

Our analyses showed strong positive effects of active microbial biomass and the functional gene evenness on microbial physiological potential and microbial respiration, as expected based on previous studies (Lange *et al.* 2015; Trivedi *et al.* 2016; Wieder *et al.* 2013).

Increasing microbial biomass *per se* increases the number of cells processing substrates and breathing, which results in enhanced total microbial respiration. We found that fungal diversity reduced microbial respiration, which contrasts with previous findings which suggest a strong positive effect of fungal diversity on microbial respiration (Liu *et al.* 2018). Potentially, high fungal diversity coincided with or was related to low availability of easily degradable substrates and dominance of more recalcitrant carbon sources (Paterson *et al.* 2008), but see (Kramer *et al.* 2016).

In addition, we found that microbial physiology had a positive effect on microbial respiration by mediating functional gene evenness and part of microbial biomass effects on microbial respiration. Substrate-induced respiration methods like MicroResp.® introduce to the microbial community a range of substrates which target different oxidation pathways (Liang *et al.* 2017, Parterson *et al.* 2008) in order to quantify the community's physiological profile (Campbell *et al.* 2003). This method provides an overview of the microbial community potential under resource-rich conditions, and may also not adequately reflect microbial respiration *in situ*, where different oxidation pathways may not be evenly activated. However, in longer physiological processes, such as litter decomposition, where litter chemical composition is changing with time (Berg 2000; Moretto *et al.* 2001), several oxidation pathways are successively activated. Therefore, information on the community's potential to evenly cover a large range of physiological pathways (i.e., provided by MicroResp® measurements) may become critical.

By bringing together the different facets of the microbial community, we showed the complementary effects of these microbial community facets on microbial realized functions, the significance of microbial biomass to explain microbial respiration, and the mediation of microbial community facets effects on microbial respiration by the microbial physiological potential. This new insight on the links between microbial community facets and realized

functions would now need to be considered in future efforts to model microbial processes in soils (Sainte-Marie *et al.* 2021; Crowther *et al.* 2019; Kyker-Snowman *et al.* 2020).

Soil chemical properties drive the soil microbial community - microbial functions relationships

We found that soil chemical properties were the strongest drivers of linkages between the soil microbial community and soil functioning by affecting all facets of the microbial community and microbial respiration. Soil organic carbon content had strong positive effects on both microbial biomass and microbial physiological potential, while soil pH affected microbial biomass, taxonomic profile and physiological potential; however, the soil chemical properties (i.e., soil carbon to phosphorus ratio, and soil humidity) had less pronounced effects on fewer facets. For example, soil humidity decreased microbial biomass but increased microbial respiration, while soil C:P ratio only increased substrate-induced respiration response range. These inconsistent effects of soil chemistry on the different facets of the microbial community were expected from previous studies showing different soil variables and selection mechanisms for microbial taxonomic and functional profiles (Chen et al. 2020; e.g., Liu et al. 2018; Trivedi et al. 2016). However, our analyses highlighted soil carbon content as the main driver of the microbial community, affecting microbial biomass, taxonomic profiles, and physiological potential. Together, these effects enhanced microbial respiration. The major significance of soil carbon in structuring soil microbial communities is well known and supported by many previous local- (e.g., Eisenhauer et al. 2010; Chodak et al. 2016) to global-scale studies (Crowther et al. 2019; e.g., Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2016b).

Consequently, one might expect a negative feedback effect of soil microbial respiration on organic carbon content, due to the increase of soil carbon mineralization by the microbial community. However, high microbial respiration and microbial biomass are two strong indicators of microbial transformation of plant residues and soil organic carbon to microbial necromass (Buckeridge *et al.* 2020; Lange *et al.* 2015; Miltner *et al.* 2012; Schmidt *et al.* 2011; Trumbore 1997). This transformation of easily decomposable plant material to microbial necromass may increase soil carbon residency time, and therefore soil carbon storage (Sainte-Marie *et al.* 2021). Our results provide novel insights on a positive tree diversity-induced feedback of soil carbon content on soil carbon storage by increasing soil microbial biomass and functioning. However, further empirical and theoretical studies are needed to mechanically test the effects of soil carbon chemical pools on soil bioprocesses as well as soil carbon sequestration. This requires a better description and measurement of the soil carbon chemical pools (Sainte-Marie *et al.* 2021; Buckeridge *et al.* 2020). Furthermore, mechanistic and dynamic models need to be built and calibrated on temporal data to predict soil carbon dynamics (Sainte-Marie *et al.* 2021; Kyker-Snowman *et al.* 2020), and to consider the contextdependency of the microbial processes to biotic and abiotic environmental conditions (Cesarz *et al.* 2020; Tedersoo *et al.* 2016; Chodak *et al.* 2016; Kyker-Snowman *et al.* 2020).

Tree diversity effects on soil respiration mediated via changes in the soil microbial community

We observed a positive effect of tree species richness on the different facets of the microbial community and its functions. Our results demonstrate that tree species richness drives soil microbial functions, such as microbial respiration, by modifying the soil microbial community: microbial biomass and diversity. Such positive effects of tree diversity on microbial biomass were shown in the past across biomes. They were explained by an increase of tree productivity and thus of tree carbon release into the soil (e.g., root exudation, Eisenhauer *et al.* 2017; litter production, Huang *et al.* 2017; Huang *et al.* 2018). Additionally, tree diversity is expected to increase substrate diversity available to soil microorganisms (Chapman *et al.* 2013; Eisenhauer *et al.* 2017; Thoms *et al.* 2010). Such an increase in substrate diversity could explain the enhancement of substrate-induced respiration efficiency observed by

selecting microbial communities adapted to diverse substrate inputs (Brandt *et al.* 2004). These results suggest a double effect of tree diversity on the microbial community. On the one hand, tree diversity maintains higher microbial biomass by increasing tree productivity and carbon inputs into the soil. On the other hand, tree diversity increases the heterogeneity of the organic inputs (Hooper *et al.* 2000), and maintains a higher level of functioning by increasing microbial physiological potential. In this study, the positive effect of tree diversity on microbial respiration was mostly driven by enhanced microbial biomass.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we showed that tree diversity and soil carbon content drive microbial respiration through their effects on the different soil microbial community facets. We identified microbial biomass as the main predictor of microbial respiration, by incorporating the different soil microbial community facets and their drivers in a common framework. These results suggest a positive tree diversity-induced feedback of soil carbon content on soil carbon storage by increasing soil microbial biomass and respiration. These novel insights should be considered in efforts to model soil carbon dynamics and feedbacks to atmospheric carbon concentrations (Crowther *et al.* 2019) as well as the ecosystem consequences of reforestation approaches (Domke *et al.* 2020; Tong *et al.* 2020; Veldkamp *et al.* 2020; Lewis *et al.* 2019).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation – 319936945/GRK2324 and – FZT 118, 202548816), the University of Chinese Academy Sciences (UCAS), and CAS Strategic Priority Research Programme (XDA20050104). We gratefully acknowledge the support by the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG– FZT 118, 202548816). We thank the TreeDì and Experimental Interaction Ecology research groups for their support, especially Alfred Lochner, Anja Zeuner, Alla Kavtea, and Linnea Smith for their

help with the lab measurements and the many local helpers for their help with the field

sampling.

References

Allison, Steven D.; Wallenstein, Matthew D.; Bradford, Mark A. (2010): Soil-carbon response to warming dependent on microbial physiology. In *Nature Geoscience* 3 (5), pp. 336–340. DOI: 10.1038/ngeo846.

Bao, Yuanyuan; Guo, Zhiying; Chen, Ruirui; Wu, Meng; Li, Zhongpei; Lin, Xiangui; Feng, Youzhi (2020): Functional community composition has less environmental variability than taxonomic composition in straw-degrading bacteria. In *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 56 (6), pp. 869–874. DOI: 10.1007/s00374-020-01455-y.

Bárány, Agnes; Szili-Kovács, Tibor; Krett, Gergely; Füzy, Anna; Márialigeti, Károly; Borsodi, Andrea K. (2014): Metabolic activity and genetic diversity of microbial communities inhabiting the rhizosphere of halophyton plants. In *Acta microbiologica et immunologica Hungarica* 61 (3), pp. 347–361. DOI: 10.1556/AMicr.61.2014.3.8.

Berg, Björn (2000): Litter decomposition and organic matter turnover in northern forest soils. In *Forest Ecology and Management* 133 (1-2), pp. 13–22. DOI: 10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00294-7.

Beugnon, Rémy; Bu, Wensheng; Bruelheide, Helge; Davrinche, Andréa; Du, Jianqing; Haider, Sylvia et al.: Abiotic and biotic drivers of scale-dependent tree trait effects on soil microbial biomass and soil carbon concentration (Chapter III).

Bolyen, Evan; Rideout, Jai Ram; Dillon, Matthew R.; Bokulich, Nicholas A.; Abnet, Christian C.; Al-Ghalith, Gabriel A. et al. (2019): Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. In *Nature biotechnology* 37 (8), pp. 852–857. DOI: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9.

Bonner, Mark T.L.; Shoo, Luke P.; Brackin, Richard; Schmidt, Susanne (2018): Relationship between microbial composition and substrate use efficiency in a tropical soil. In *Geoderma* 315, pp. 96–103. DOI: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.11.026.

Bradstreet, R. B. (1954): Determination of Nitro Nitrogen by Kjeldahl Method. In *Analytical chemistry* 26 (1), pp. 235–236.

Brandt, Bernd W.; Kelpin, Fleur D. L.; van Leeuwen, Ingeborg M. M.; Kooijman, Sebastiaan A. L. M. (2004): Modelling microbial adaptation to changing availability of substrates. In *Water research* 38 (4), pp. 1003–1013. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2003.09.037.

Bruelheide, Helge; Nadrowski, Karin; Assmann, Thorsten; Bauhus, Jürgen; Both, Sabine; Buscot, François et al. (2014): Designing forest biodiversity experiments: general considerations illustrated by a new large experiment in subtropical China. In *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5 (1), pp. 74–89. DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.12126.

Buckeridge, Kate M.; Mason, Kelly E.; McNamara, Niall P.; Ostle, Nick; Puissant, Jeremy; Goodall, Tim et al. (2020): Environmental and microbial controls on microbial necromass recycling, an important precursor for soil carbon stabilization. In *Communications Earth & Environment* 1 (1). DOI: 10.1038/s43247-020-00031-4.

Callahan, Benjamin J.; McMurdie, Paul J.; Rosen, Michael J.; Han, Andrew W.; Johnson, Amy Jo A.; Holmes, Susan P. (2016): DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. In *Nature methods* 13 (7), pp. 581–583. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.3869.

Campbell, Colin D.; Chapman, Stephen J.; Cameron, Clare M.; Davidson, Mitchell S.; Potts, Jacqueline M. (2003): A rapid microtiter plate method to measure carbon dioxide evolved from carbon substrate amendments so as to determine the physiological profiles of soil microbial communities by using whole soil. In *Applied and environmental microbiology* 69 (6), pp. 3593–3599. DOI: 10.1128/aem.69.6.3593-3599.2003.

Cao, Jirong; Jia, Xiu; Pang, Shuang; Hu, Yecui; Li, Yuncong; Wang, Qibing (2020): Functional structure, taxonomic composition and the dominant assembly processes of soil prokaryotic community along an altitudinal gradient. In *Applied Soil Ecology* 155, p. 103647. DOI: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103647.

Cesarz, Simone; Craven, Dylan; Auge, Harald; Bruelheide, Helge; Castagneyrol, Bastien; Hector, Andy et al. (2020): Biotic and abiotic drivers of soil microbial functions across tree diversity experiments. In *bioRXiv*. DOI: 10.1101/2020.01.30.927277.

Chapman, Samantha K.; Newman, Gregory S.; Hart, Stephen C.; Schweitzer, Jennifer A.; Koch, George W. (2013): Leaf litter mixtures alter microbial community development: mechanisms for non-additive effects in litter decomposition. In *PloS one* 8 (4), e62671. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0062671.

Chen, Chen; Chen, Han Y. H.; Chen, Xinli; Huang, Zhiqun (2019): Meta-analysis shows positive effects of plant diversity on microbial biomass and respiration. In *Nature communications* 10 (1), p. 1332. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-09258-y.

Chen, Ji; Sinsabaugh, Robert L. (2021): Linking microbial functional gene abundance and soil extracellular enzyme activity: Implications for soil carbon dynamics. In *Global Change Biology* 27 (7), pp. 1322–1325. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.15506.

Chen, Qing-Lin; Ding, Jing; Li, Chao-Yu; Yan, Zhen-Zhen; He, Ji-Zheng; Hu, Hang-Wei (2020): Microbial functional attributes, rather than taxonomic attributes, drive top soil respiration, nitrification and denitrification processes. In *The Science of the total environment* 734, p. 139479. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139479.

Chodak, Marcin; Klimek, Beata; Niklińska, Maria (2016): Composition and activity of soil microbial communities in different types of temperate forests. In *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 52 (8), pp. 1093–1104. DOI: 10.1007/s00374-016-1144-2.

Crowther, T. W.; van den Hoogen, J.; Wan, J.; Mayes, M. A.; Keiser, A. D.; Mo, L. et al. (2019): The global soil community and its influence on biogeochemistry. In *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 365 (6455). DOI: 10.1126/science.aav0550.

Davidson, Eric A.; Janssens, Ivan A. (2006): Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. In *Nature* 440 (7081), pp. 165–173. DOI: 10.1038/nature04514.

Delgado-Baquerizo, Manuel; Maestre, Fernando T.; Reich, Peter B.; Jeffries, Thomas C.; Gaitan, Juan J.; Encinar, Daniel et al. (2016a): Microbial diversity drives multifunctionality in terrestrial ecosystems. In *Nature communications* 7, p. 10541. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms10541.

Delgado-Baquerizo, Manuel; Maestre, Fernando T.; Reich, Peter B.; Trivedi, Pankaj; Osanai, Yui; Liu, Yu-Rong et al. (2016b): Carbon content and climate variability drive global soil bacterial diversity patterns. In *Ecological Monographs* 86 (3), pp. 373–390. Available online at https://www.jstor.org/stable/24821218.

Chapter II - Tree diversity and soil chemical properties drive the linkages between soil microbial community and ecosystem functioning

Delgado-Baquerizo, Manuel; Reich, Peter B.; Khachane, Amit N.; Campbell, Colin D.; Thomas, Nadine; Freitag, Thomas E. et al. (2017): It is elemental: soil nutrient stoichiometry drives bacterial diversity. In *Environmental Microbiology* 19 (3), pp. 1176–1188. DOI: 10.1111/1462-2920.13642.

Domke, Grant M.; Oswalt, Sonja N.; Walters, Brian F.; Morin, Randall S. (2020): Tree planting has the potential to increase carbon sequestration capacity of forests in the United States. In *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117 (40), pp. 24649–24651. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2010840117.

Durán, Jorge; Delgado-Baquerizo, Manuel (2020): Vegetation structure determines the spatial variability of soil biodiversity across biomes. In *Scientific reports* 10 (1), p. 21500. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-78483-z.

Eisenhauer, N.; Bessler, H.; Engels, C.; Gleixner, G.; Habekost, M.; Milcu, A. et al. (2010): Plant diversity effects on soil microorganisms support the singular hypothesis. In *Ecology* 91 (2), pp. 485–496. DOI: 10.1890/08-2338.1.

Eisenhauer, Nico; Dobies, Tomasz; Cesarz, Simone; Hobbie, Sarah E.; Meyer, Ross J.; Worm, Kally; Reich, Peter B. (2013): Plant diversity effects on soil food webs are stronger than those of elevated CO2 and N deposition in a long-term grassland experiment. In *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110 (17), pp. 6889–6894. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1217382110.

Eisenhauer, Nico; Lanoue, Arnaud; Strecker, Tanja; Scheu, Stefan; Steinauer, Katja; Thakur, Madhav P.; Mommer, Liesje (2017): Root biomass and exudates link plant diversity with soil bacterial and fungal biomass. In *Scientific reports* 7, p. 44641. DOI: 10.1038/srep44641.

Fanin, Nicolas; Barantal, Sandra; Fromin, Nathalie; Schimann, Heidy; Schevin, Patrick; Hättenschwiler, Stephan (2012): Distinct microbial limitations in litter and underlying soil revealed by carbon and nutrient fertilization in a tropical rainforest. In *PloS one* 7 (12), e49990. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0049990.

Fornara, D. A.; Tilman, D. (2008): Plant functional composition influences rates of soil carbon and nitrogen accumulation. In *Journal of Ecology* 96 (2), pp. 314–322. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01345.x.

Frostegård, Å.; Tunlid, A.; Bååth, E. (1991): Microbial biomass measured as total lipid phosphate in soils of different organic content. In *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 14 (3), pp. 151–163. DOI: 10.1016/0167-7012(91)90018-L.

Galand, Pierre E.; Pereira, Olivier; Hochart, Corentin; Auguet, Jean Christophe; Debroas, Didier (2018): A strong link between marine microbial community composition and function challenges the idea of functional redundancy. In *The ISME journal* 12 (10), pp. 2470–2478. DOI: 10.1038/s41396-018-0158-1.

Gottschall, Felix; Davids, Sophie; Newiger-Dous, Till E.; Auge, Harald; Cesarz, Simone; Eisenhauer, Nico (2019): Tree species identity determines wood decomposition via microclimatic effects. In *Ecology and Evolution* 9 (21), pp. 12113–12127. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.5665.

Hale, Lauren; Feng, Wenting; Yin, Huaqun; Guo, Xue; Zhou, Xishu; Bracho, Rosvel et al. (2019): Tundra microbial community taxa and traits predict decomposition parameters of stable, old soil organic carbon. In *The ISME journal* 13 (12), pp. 2901–2915. DOI: 10.1038/s41396-019-0485-x.

Hall, Ed K.; Bernhardt, Emily S.; Bier, Raven L.; Bradford, Mark A.; Boot, Claudia M.; Cotner, James B. et al. (2018): Understanding how microbiomes influence the systems they inhabit. In *Nature microbiology* 3 (9), pp. 977–982. DOI: 10.1038/s41564-018-0201-z.

Hooper, David U.; Bignell, David E.; Brown, Valerie K.; Brussard, Lijbert; Dangerfield, Mark J.; Wall, Diana H. et al. (2000): Interactions between Aboveground and Belowground Biodiversity in Terrestrial Ecosystems: Patterns, Mechanisms, and Feedbacks. In *BioScience* 50 (12), p. 1049.

Huang, Yuanyuan; Chen, Yuxin; Castro-Izaguirre, Nadia; Baruffol, Martin; Brezzi, Matteo; Lang, Anne et al. (2018): Impacts of species richness on productivity in a large-scale subtropical forest experiment. In *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 362 (6410), pp. 80–83. DOI: 10.1126/science.aat6405.

Huang, Yuanyuan; Ma, Yinlei; Zhao, Ke; Niklaus, Pascal A.; Schmid, Bernhard; He, Jin-Sheng (2017): Positive effects of tree species diversity on litterfall quantity and quality along a secondary successional chronosequence in a subtropical forest. In *Journal of Plant Ecology* 10 (1), pp. 28–35. DOI: 10.1093/jpe/rtw115.

IPCC (Ed.) (2013): IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. With assistance of T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung et al. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.

Jurburg, Stephanie D.; Salles, Joana Falcão (2015): Functional Redundancy and Ecosystem Function — The Soil Microbiota as a Case Study. In Yueh-Hsin Lo, Juan A. Blanco, Shovonlal Roy (Eds.): Biodiversity in Ecosystems - Linking Structure and Function: InTech.

Kramer, Susanne; Dibbern, Dörte; Moll, Julia; Huenninghaus, Maike; Koller, Robert; Krueger, Dirk et al. (2016): Resource Partitioning between Bacteria, Fungi, and Protists in the Detritusphere of an Agricultural Soil. In *Frontiers in microbiology* 7, p. 1524. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01524.

Kuang, Jialiang; Huang, Linan; He, Zhili; Chen, Linxing; Hua, Zhengshuang; Jia, Pu et al. (2016): Predicting taxonomic and functional structure of microbial communities in acid mine drainage. In *The ISME journal* 10 (6), pp. 1527–1539. DOI: 10.1038/ismej.2015.201.

Kyker-Snowman, Emily; Wieder, William R.; Frey, Serita D.; Grandy, A. Stuart (2020): Stoichiometrically coupled carbon and nitrogen cycling in the MIcrobial-MIneral Carbon Stabilization model version 1.0 (MIMICS-CN v1.0). In *Geoscientific Model Development* 13 (9), pp. 4413–4434. DOI: 10.5194/gmd-13-4413-2020.

Lagomarsino, Alessandra; Knapp, Brigitte A.; Moscatelli, M. Cristina; Angelis, Paolo de; Grego, Stefano; Insam, Heribert (2007): Structural and functional diversity of soil microbes is affected by elevated [CO2] and N addition in a poplar plantation. In *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 7 (6), pp. 399–405. DOI: 10.1065/jss2007.04.223.

Lahti, Leo; Shetty, Sudarshan; Blake, Tineka; Salojarvi, Jarkko (2017): Microbiome R package. In *Tools Microbiome Anal R*.

Lal, R. (2004): Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. In *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 304 (5677), pp. 1623–1627. DOI: 10.1126/science.1097396.

Lange, Markus; Eisenhauer, Nico; Sierra, Carlos A.; Bessler, Holger; Engels, Christoph; Griffiths, Robert I. et al. (2015): Plant diversity increases soil microbial activity and soil carbon storage. In *Nature communications* 6, p. 6707. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7707.

Chapter II - Tree diversity and soil chemical properties drive the linkages between soil microbial community and ecosystem functioning

Lewis, Simon L.; Wheeler, Charlotte E.; Mitchard, Edward T. A.; Koch, Alexander (2019): Restoring natural forests is the best way to remove atmospheric carbon. In *Nature* 568 (7750), pp. 25–28. DOI: 10.1038/d41586-019-01026-8.

Liang, Yi; Liu, Xikun; Singletary, Michael A.; Wang, Kai; Mattes, Timothy E. (2017): Relationships between the Abundance and Expression of Functional Genes from Vinyl Chloride (VC)-Degrading Bacteria and Geochemical Parameters at VC-Contaminated Sites. In *Environmental science* & *technology* 51 (21), pp. 12164–12174. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b03521.

Liu, Yu-Rong; Delgado-Baquerizo, Manuel; Wang, Jun-Tao; Hu, Hang-Wei; Yang, Ziming; He, Ji-Zheng (2018): New insights into the role of microbial community composition in driving soil respiration rates. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 118, pp. 35–41. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.12.003.

Louca, Stilianos; Parfrey, Laura Wegener; Doebeli, Michael (2016): Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. In *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 353 (6305), pp. 1272–1277. DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf4507.

Maaroufi, Nadia I.; Long, Jonathan R. de (2020): Global Change Impacts on Forest Soils: Linkage Between Soil Biota and Carbon-Nitrogen-Phosphorus Stoichiometry. In *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change* 3. DOI: 10.3389/ffgc.2020.00016.

Malik, Ashish A.; Martiny, Jennifer B. H.; Brodie, Eoin L.; Martiny, Adam C.; Treseder, Kathleen K.; Allison, Steven D. (2020): Defining trait-based microbial strategies with consequences for soil carbon cycling under climate change. In *The ISME journal* 14 (1), pp. 1–9. DOI: 10.1038/s41396-019-0510-0.

Martin, Marcel (2011): Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. In *EMBnet.journal* 17 (1), p. 10. DOI: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200.

McGuire, Krista L.; Treseder, Kathleen K. (2010): Microbial communities and their relevance for ecosystem models: Decomposition as a case study. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42 (4), pp. 529–535. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.11.016.

McMurdie, Paul J.; Holmes, Susan (2013): phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. In *PloS one* 8 (4), e61217. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217.

Miltner, Anja; Bombach, Petra; Schmidt-Brücken, Burkhard; Kästner, Matthias (2012): SOM genesis: microbial biomass as a significant source. In *Biogeochemistry* 111 (1-3), pp. 41–55. DOI: 10.1007/s10533-011-9658-z.

Monson, Russell K.; Lipson, David L.; Burns, Sean P.; Turnipseed, Andrew A.; Delany, Anthony C.; Williams, Mark W.; Schmidt, Steven K. (2006): Winter forest soil respiration controlled by climate and microbial community composition. In *Nature* 439 (7077), pp. 711–714. DOI: 10.1038/nature04555.

Moretto, A. S.; Distel, R. A.; Didoné, N. G. (2001): Decomposition and nutrient dynamic of leaf litter and roots from palatable and unpalatable grasses in a semi-arid grassland. In *Applied Soil Ecology* 18 (1), pp. 31–37. DOI: 10.1016/S0929-1393(01)00151-2.

Nawaz, Ali; Purahong, Witoon; Herrmann, Martina; Küsel, Kirsten; Buscot, François; Wubet, Tesfaye (2019): DNA- and RNA- Derived Fungal Communities in Subsurface Aquifers Only Partly Overlap but React Similarly to Environmental Factors. In *Microorganisms* 7 (9). DOI: 10.3390/microorganisms7090341.

Paterson, Eric; Osler, Graham; Dawson, Lorna A.; Gebbing, Thomas; Sim, Allan; Ord, Brian (2008): Labile and recalcitrant plant fractions are utilised by distinct microbial communities in soil: Independent of the presence of roots and mycorrhizal fungi. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40 (5), pp. 1103–1113. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.12.003.

Pei, Zhiqin; Eichenberg, David; Bruelheide, Helge; Kröber, Wenzel; Kühn, Peter; Li, Ying et al. (2016): Soil and tree species traits both shape soil microbial communities during early growth of Chinese subtropical forests. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 96, pp. 180–190. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.02.004.

Rosseel, Y. (2012): Lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling and more. Version 0.5–12 (BETA). In *Journal of statistical software* 48 (2), pp. 1–36.

Rousk, Johannes; Brookes, Philip C.; Bååth, Erland (2010): Investigating the mechanisms for the opposing pH relationships of fungal and bacterial growth in soil. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42 (6), pp. 926–934. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.02.009.

Ruess, Liliane; Chamberlain, Paul M. (2010): The fat that matters: Soil food web analysis using fatty acids and their carbon stable isotope signature. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42 (11), pp. 1898–1910. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.07.020.

Sainte-Marie, Julien; Barrandon, Matthieu; Saint-André, Laurent; Gelhaye, Eric; Martin, Francis; Derrien, Delphine (2021): C-STABILITY an innovative modeling framework to leverage the continuous representation of organic matter. In *Nature communications* 12 (1), p. 810. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-21079-6.

Scheu, Stefan (1992): Automated measurement of the respiratory response of soil microcompartments: Active microbial biomass in earthworm faeces. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 24 (11), pp. 1113–1118. DOI: 10.1016/0038-0717(92)90061-2.

Schlesinger, William H.; Andrews, Jeffrey A. (2000): Soil respiration and the global carbon cycle. In *Biogeochemistry* 48 (1), pp. 7–20. DOI: 10.1023/A:1006247623877.

Schmidt, Michael W. I.; Torn, Margaret S.; Abiven, Samuel; Dittmar, Thorsten; Guggenberger, Georg; Janssens, Ivan A. et al. (2011): Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. In *Nature* 478 (7367), pp. 49–56. DOI: 10.1038/nature10386.

Schöps, Ricardo; Goldmann, Kezia; Herz, Katharina; Lentendu, Guillaume; Schöning, Ingo; Bruelheide, Helge et al. (2018): Land-Use Intensity Rather Than Plant Functional Identity Shapes Bacterial and Fungal Rhizosphere Communities. In *Frontiers in microbiology* 9, p. 2711. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02711.

Singh, Brajesh K.; Bardgett, Richard D.; Smith, Pete; Reay, Dave S. (2010): Microorganisms and climate change: terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options. In *Nature reviews*. *Microbiology* 8 (11), pp. 779–790. DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2439.

Tedersoo, Leho; Bahram, Mohammad; Cajthaml, Tomáš; Põlme, Sergei; Hiiesalu, Indrek; Anslan, Sten et al. (2016): Tree diversity and species identity effects on soil fungi, protists and animals are context dependent. In *The ISME journal* 10 (2), pp. 346–362. DOI: 10.1038/ismej.2015.116.

Thoms, Carolin; Gattinger, Andreas; Jacob, Mascha; Thomas, Frank M.; Gleixner, Gerd (2010): Direct and indirect effects of tree diversity drive soil microbial diversity in temperate deciduous forest. In *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42 (9), pp. 1558–1565. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.05.030.

Chapter II - Tree diversity and soil chemical properties drive the linkages between soil microbial community and ecosystem functioning

Tong, Xiaowei; Brandt, Martin; Yue, Yuemin; Ciais, Philippe; Rudbeck Jepsen, Martin; Penuelas, Josep et al. (2020): Forest management in southern China generates short term extensive carbon sequestration. In *Nature communications* 11 (1), p. 129. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-13798-8.

Trivedi, Pankaj; Delgado-Baquerizo, Manuel; Trivedi, Chanda; Hu, Hangwei; Anderson, Ian C.; Jeffries, Thomas C. et al. (2016): Microbial regulation of the soil carbon cycle: evidence from gene-enzyme relationships. In *The ISME journal* 10 (11), pp. 2593–2604. DOI: 10.1038/ismej.2016.65.

Trumbore, S. E. (1997): Potential responses of soil organic carbon to global environmental change. In *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 94 (16), pp. 8284–8291. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.94.16.8284.

Veldkamp, Edzo; Schmidt, Marcus; Powers, Jennifer S.; Corre, Marife D. (2020): Deforestation and reforestation impacts on soils in the tropics. In *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* 1 (11), pp. 590–605. DOI: 10.1038/s43017-020-0091-5.

Wieder, William R.; Bonan, Gordon B.; Allison, Steven D. (2013): Global soil carbon projections are improved by modelling microbial processes. In *Nature Climate Change* 3 (10), pp. 909–912. DOI: 10.1038/nclimate1951.

Xu, Shan; Eisenhauer, Nico; Ferlian, Olga; Zhang, Jinlong; Zhou, Guoyi; Lu, Xiankai et al. (2020): Species richness promotes ecosystem carbon storage: evidence from biodiversity-ecosystem functioning experiments. In *Proceedings. Biological sciences* 287 (1939), p. 20202063. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2020.2063.

Yu, Guirui; Chen, Zhi; Piao, Shilong; Peng, Changhui; Ciais, Philippe; Wang, Qiufeng et al. (2014): High carbon dioxide uptake by subtropical forest ecosystems in the East Asian monsoon region. In *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111 (13), pp. 4910–4915. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1317065111.

Zheng, Bangxiao; Zhu, Yongguan; Sardans, Jordi; Peñuelas, Josep; Su, Jianqiang (2018): QMEC: a tool for high-throughput quantitative assessment of microbial functional potential in C, N, P, and S biogeochemical cycling. In *Science China. Life sciences* 61 (12), pp. 1451–1462. DOI: 10.1007/s11427-018-9364-7.